Interacting Genomic Landscapes of REC8-Cohesin, Chromatin, and Meiotic Recombination in Arabidopsis
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Meiosis recombines genetic variation and influences eukaryote genome evolution. During meiosis, DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) enter interhomolog repair to yield crossovers and noncrossovers. DSB repair occurs as replicated sister chromatids are connected to a polymerized axis. Cohesin rings containing the REC8 kleisin subunit bind sister chromatids and anchor chromosomes to the axis. Here, we report the genomic landscape of REC8 using chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana). REC8 associates with regions of high nucleosome occupancy in multiple chromatin states, including histone methylation at H3K4 (expressed genes), H3K27 (silent genes), and H3K9 (silent transposons). REC8 enrichment is associated with suppression of meiotic DSBs and crossovers at the chromosome and fine scales. As REC8 enrichment is greatest in transposon-dense heterochromatin, we repeated ChIP-seq in kyp suvh5 suvh6 H3K9me2 mutants. Surprisingly, REC8 enrichment is maintained in kyp suvh5 suvh6 heterochromatin and no defects in centromeric cohesion were observed. REC8 occupancy within genes anti-correlates with transcription and is reduced in COPIA transposons that reactivate expression in kyp suvh5 suvh6. Abnormal axis structures form in rec8 that recruit DSB-associated protein foci and undergo synopsis, which is followed by chromosome fragmentation. Therefore, REC8 occupancy correlates with multiple chromatin states and is required to organize meiotic chromosome architecture and interhomolog recombination.

INTRODUCTION

The majority of eukaryotes reproduce via meiosis, a specialized cell division that produces four haploid cells from a single diploid parent cell (Villeneuve and Hillers, 2001; Mercier et al., 2015). During meiosis, a single round of DNA replication is followed by two rounds of chromosome segregation that recombines the chromosome complement (Villeneuve and Hillers, 2001; Mercier et al., 2015). In addition, during meiotic prophase I, homologous chromosomes undergo programmed DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) generated via SPO11 complexes (de Massy, 2013; Lam and Keeney, 2014). Meiotic DSBs are resected to form 3’ single-stranded DNA, which may invade the homologous chromosome, allowing repair as crossovers or noncrossovers (Villeneuve and Hillers, 2001; Mercier et al., 2015). In Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), ~200 meiotic DSBs are formed, of which ~10 are repaired as crossovers (Mercier et al., 2015). The majority of crossovers in plants exhibit interference, the phenomenon that prevents multiple crossovers from occurring in close proximity along each chromosome pair (Villeneuve and Hillers, 2001; Mercier et al., 2015). The combined effects of meiotic recombination and chromosome segregation strongly influence genetic variation, genome evolution, and adaptation (Kauppi et al., 2004; Barton and Charlesworth, 2009).

Prior to the formation of meiotic DSBs, homologous chromosomes become associated with a polymerized axis structure (Zickler and Kleckner, 1999). In Arabidopsis, this includes the ASY1 HORMA domain protein and the coiled-coil proteins ASY3 and ASY4 (Armstrong et al., 2002; Ferdous et al., 2012; Chambon et al., 2018; Osman et al., 2018; West et al., 2019). During association with the axis, replicated sister chromatids are held together by cohesin complexes containing the meiosis-specific REC8 α-kleisin, which is also required to connect chromatin to the axis (Klein et al., 1999; Cai et al., 2003; Chelysheva et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2010). Meiotic DSBs are proposed to form predominantly within the chromatin loops that become tethered to the axis during interhomolog repair (Zickler and Kleckner, 1999). As meiotic prophase proceeds, the axis is remodeled to form the synaptonemal complex (SC), coincident with the progression of crossover repair (Zickler and Kleckner, 1999; Page and Hawley, 2003). The higher order organization of meiotic chromosomes into a tethered-loop/axis configuration is required for efficient and high-fidelity interhomolog recombination (Zickler and Kleckner, 1999; Page and Hawley, 2003).
Epigenetic information on the chromosome loops, including nucleosome positions, histone modifications, histone variants, and DNA methylation, are also known to influence meiotic recombination (Pan et al., 2011; Acquaviva et al., 2013; Choi et al., 2013, 2018; Sommermeyer et al., 2013; Choi and Henderson, 2015; Yelina et al., 2015). For example, DSBs and crossovers typically concentrate in narrow ~1-kb hotspots that are determined to varying degrees by genetic and epigenetic information (Baudat et al., 2013; Lam and Keeney, 2014; Choi and Henderson, 2015). During DSB formation, SPO11 enzymes become covalently bound to target site oligonucleotides (oligos), which can be sequenced to identify sites of recombination initiation (Neale et al., 2005; Pan et al., 2011; Fowler et al., 2014; Lange et al., 2016; Choi et al., 2018). SPO11-oligo sequencing in Arabidopsis and budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) has revealed meiotic DSB hotspots located in nucleosome-free regions associated with gene regulatory sequences (Pan et al., 2011; Choi et al., 2018). Crossovers in plants also positively associate with H3K4me3 and histone variant H2A.Z, which show 5’ enrichment at transcribed genes (Liu et al., 2009; Choi et al., 2013; Wijninger et al., 2013; He et al., 2017; Kianian et al., 2018). In budding yeast, H3K4me3 tethers DSB hotspots to the Mre2 axis protein via the COMPASS complex Spp1 subunit, showing how chromatin and axis organization can interact to promote meiotic recombination (Acquaviva et al., 2013; Sommermeyer et al., 2013).

Meiotic recombination is also suppressed in broad regions of the chromosomes, including heterochromatin, centromeres, ribosomal gene arrays, sex chromosomes, and mating-type loci (Ellermeier et al., 2010; Vincenten et al., 2015; Yelina et al., 2015; Choi et al., 2018; Underwood et al., 2018). Crossover suppression in these regions may be due to both structural rearrangements and epigenetic marks. Arabidopsis centromeres are organized as megabase arrays of satellite repeats that are surrounded by pericentromeric heterochromatin, which is densely modified with DNA methylation in CG, CHG, and CHH sequence contexts, H3K9me2, H3K27me1, and histone variant H2A.W (Topp and Dawe, 2006; Jacob et al., 2009; Stroud et al., 2013, 2014; Yelagandula et al., 2014). Arabidopsis pericentromeric heterochromatin is suppressed for both meiotic DSBs and crossovers, and loss of H3K9me2 and non-CG DNA methylation increases meiotic recombination in these regions (Choi et al., 2018; Underwood et al., 2018). Crossover hotspots located in Arabidopsis euchromatin have also been directly silenced by RNA-directed DNA methylation and acquisition of H3K9me2 (Yelina et al., 2015).

Although the presence of specific heterochromatic marks varies between eukaryotic genomes, suppression of meiotic recombination in centromeric and pericentromeric chromatin is widely observed (Ellermeier et al., 2010; Pan et al., 2011; Fowler et al., 2014; Vincenten et al., 2015; Underwood et al., 2018).

Despite the importance of the tethered-loop/axis architecture and chromatin for meiotic recombination, how they interact to shape DSB and crossover frequency is incompletely understood. REC8-cohesin occupancy has been analyzed via chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) in budding and fission yeast (Schizosaccharomyces pombe) and found to accumulate most strongly in the centromeric regions (Kugou et al., 2009; Ito et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2015; Folco et al., 2017). In fission yeast, REC8 loading in heterochromatin requires H3K9 methylation, further demonstrating the importance of chromatin for meiotic chromosome architecture (Bernard et al., 2001; Nonaka et al., 2002; Mizuguchi et al., 2014; Folco et al., 2017). Within the chromosome arms, transcription exerts an influence on mitotic and meiotic cohesin occupancy, which accumulates at sites of convergent transcription in budding and fission yeast (Lengronne et al., 2004; Mizuguchi et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2015). In this study, we explore REC8 localization in the Arabidopsis genome and its relationship to recombination and chromatin state. The Arabidopsis genome is physically larger than that of budding yeast and fission yeast, with greater gene and transposon numbers and complex megabase-scale centromeres (Tables 1 to 3). Heterochromatic regulation in Arabidopsis also involves additional chromatin modifications, including DNA methylation and H2A.W (Tables 1 to 3; Stroud et al., 2013; Yelagandula et al., 2014). Notably, genome-wide maps of meiotic DSBs, crossovers, and chromatin exist for each of these species, making cross-species comparisons of interest (Tables 1 to 4; Mancera et al., 2008; Pan et al., 2011; Fowler et al., 2014; Choi et al., 2018).

In this work, we map the landscape of Arabidopsis REC8 via ChIP-seq and observe a positive correlation with nucleosome occupancy in multiple euchromatic and heterochromatic chromatin states, including with histone H3K4, H3K9, and H3K27 methylation. At the chromosome scale, REC8 enrichment is greatest in pericentromeric heterochromatin and associates with suppression of meiotic DSBs and crossovers. To test the role of heterochromatin in REC8 enrichment, we repeated ChIP-seq in kyp suvh5 suvh6 mutants, which lose H3K9me2 and non-CG DNA methylation (Stroud et al., 2014). Surprisingly, REC8 enrichment is maintained in kyp suvh5 suvh6 heterochromatin and no defects in centromeric cohesion occurred. We observe that patterns of transcription influence REC8 occupancy within genes and transposons. For example, REC8 decreases within COPIA transposable elements (TEs) that become transcriptionally up-regulated in kyp suvh5 suvh6. Together, our work provides a genome-wide map of meiotic cohesin in plants and insights into how chromatin and the axis interact to shape meiotic chromosome architecture and recombination in the Arabidopsis genome.

RESULTS

REC8-Cohesin and Chromatin Organization during Arabidopsis Meiosis

To detect REC8 during meiosis, we inserted 3×HA or 5×Myc epitopes into a REC8 genomic clone that uses the endogenous promoter and transformed rec8-3 heterozygotes (Cai et al., 2003; Chelysheva et al., 2005). We assessed complementation of rec8 by cytological analysis of meiosis in the resulting transformants (Figure 1A). rec8 shows severe meiotic defects in chromatin compaction, axis formation, and the presence of chromosome fragmentation, which cause complete sterility (Figure 1A; Bhatt et al., 1999; Cai et al., 2003; Chelysheva et al., 2005). We observed that transformation with the hemagglutinin (HA)– and Myc epitope–tagged REC8 constructs fully complemented axis formation during prophase I, the presence of five bivalents at metaphase I,
and chiasmata formation in rec8-3 (Figure 1A; Supplemental Table 1).

To analyze REC8 accumulation on meiotic chromosomes, we immunostained male meiocytes using a-HA or a-Myc antibodies and stained chromatin with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Figure 1B; Supplemental Figure 1A). We observed colocalization of REC8 with chromatin from leptotene onward, including within heterochromatin (Figure 1B; Supplemental Figure 1A). REC8 and chromatin colocalized as pairing and synopsis occurred, with strong cosstaining of the paired axes at pachytene (Figure 1B; Supplemental Figure 1A). The tagged REC8 proteins persisted on bivalents through diakinesis and metaphase I (Figure 1B; Supplemental Figure 1A), as reported previously (Cai et al., 2003; Chelysheva et al., 2005). No signal was detected in the non-transgenic wild-type meiocytes, or somatic cells of S. pombe (Figure 1B; Supplemental Figure 1A). REC8 have also been observed in yeast and mice, which are caused by phosphorylation (Watanabe and Nurse, 1999; Kitajima et al., 2003). An non-specific a-HA or a-Myc immunoprecipitation, revealing specific bands of the expected size (77.2-kD REC8-HA and 84.2-kD REC8-Myc) in addition to bands with an apparent ~20-kD higher molecular mass, likely representing phosphorylated forms of REC8 (Figure 2A; Supplemental Figure 1B). For example, slow-migrating forms of REC8 have also been observed in yeast and mice, which are caused by phosphorylation (Watanabe and Nurse, 1999; Kitajima et al., 2003). A nonspecific a-HA band was detected in input samples from REC8-HA rec8 and the wild type (Columbia [Col]), but this band was greatly depleted after immunopurification (Figure 2A).

Approximately 10 g of unopened flowers collected from pools of plants was used for ChIP from REC8-HA rec8, and the resulting DNA was used to construct sequencing libraries (Supplemental Table 2). We analyzed genome coverage values from biological replicate REC8-HA ChIP-seq libraries, which were highly correlated when compared at varying physical scales (e.g., 10-kb windows. Spearman's rank-order correlation coefficient r_s = 0.92; Supplemental Table 3). To test the specificity of REC8 enrichment, we repeated a-HA ChIP from untagged Col flowers, and the resulting DNA was used to generate a sequencing library. The Col library yielded 3,681,603 read pairs of which only 0.75% mapped to the Arabidopsis genome, compared with mapping rates of 91.34 to 93.22% of reads from the REC8-HA libraries.

To generate a genome-wide map of REC8 enrichment, we sought to use the HA- and Myc epitope–tagged lines to perform ChIP-seq. As REC8 is specifically expressed during meiosis (Figure 1B; Supplemental Figure 1A; Cai et al., 2003; Chelysheva et al., 2005), we collected unopened flower buds for ChIP. These flowers contain all stages of meiosis, although prophase I has the longest duration (~31 of ~33 h; Armstrong, 2013), during which REC8 associates with chromosomes (Figure 1B; Supplemental Figure 1A). We performed immunoblotting on meiotic-stage flowers before and after a-HA or a-Myc immunoprecipitation, revealing specific bands of the expected size (77.2-kD REC8-HA and 84.2-kD REC8-Myc) in addition to bands with an apparent ~20-kD higher molecular mass, likely representing phosphorylated forms of REC8 (Figure 2A; Supplemental Figure 1B). For example, slow-migrating forms of REC8 have also been observed in yeast and mice, which are caused by phosphorylation (Watanabe and Nurse, 1999; Kitajima et al., 2003). A nonspecific a-HA band was detected in input samples from REC8-HA rec8 and the wild type (Columbia [Col]), but this band was greatly depleted after immunopurification (Figure 2A).

Approximately 10 g of unopened flowers collected from pools of plants was used for ChIP from REC8-HA rec8, and the resulting DNA was used to construct sequencing libraries (Supplemental Table 2). We analyzed genome coverage values from biological replicate REC8-HA ChIP-seq libraries, which were highly correlated when compared at varying physical scales (e.g., 10-kb windows. Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficient r_s = 0.92; Supplemental Table 3). To test the specificity of REC8 enrichment, we repeated a-HA ChIP from untagged Col flowers, and the resulting DNA was used to generate a sequencing library. The Col library yielded 3,681,603 read pairs of which only 0.75% mapped to the Arabidopsis genome, compared with mapping rates of 91.34 to 93.22% of reads from the REC8-HA libraries.

### Table 2. Chromatin in in Arabidopsis, Budding Yeast, and Fission Yeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Heterochromatin</th>
<th>Euchromatin</th>
<th>Centromere</th>
<th>Polycomb/ H3K27me3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. pombe</td>
<td>H3K9me3</td>
<td>H3K4me1, H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K36me3, H2A.Z, H3/H4-Acetyl</td>
<td>Complex (35, 65, and 110 kb)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae</td>
<td>Si2-mediated deacetylation</td>
<td>H3K4me1, H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K36me3, H2A.Z, H3/H4-Acetyl</td>
<td>Point (120 bp)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>H3K9me2, H3K27me1, DNA CG CHG CHH, H2A.W</td>
<td>H3K4me1, H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K36me3, H2A.Z, H3/H4-Acetyl</td>
<td>Complex (~1 to 5 Mb)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To further analyze the specificity of α-HA ChIP enrichment, three regions were analyzed by qPCR from REC8-HA rec8 versus untagged Col (Figure 2B; Supplemental Table 4). The regions analyzed were heterochromatic ATHILA and ATHILA6B TEs, and the 5’ end of a euchromatic gene (At2g02480), which were predicted to show high REC8 occupancy (Figure 2B; Supplemental Table 4). For each region, significantly greater enrichment was measured from ChIP relative to input for REC8-HA rec8 compared with untagged Col, with highest REC8 enrichment within the transposons (Figure 2B; Supplemental Table 4), further confirming the specificity of our protocol. Finally, we analyzed α-Myc ChIP-seq data from REC8-Myc rec8 plants; they showed a positive correlation with REC8-HA data (e.g., 10 kb windows, r² = 0.83 to 0.86; Figure 3A; Supplemental Table 3). This demonstrates that we obtain reproducible signal when performing REC8 ChIP using two independent epitopes. Because of the high correlation of REC8-HA and REC8-Myc data, for subsequent analysis we focused on REC8-HA ChIP-seq data.

To assess REC8 enrichment at the fine scale, we analyzed ChIP-seq values (per-base coverage values normalized by total library coverage) and compared them with chromatin and recombination data (Figure 2C; Table 4). These data included (1) nucleosome occupancy (micrococcal nuclease sequencing [MNase-seq]; Choi et al., 2016); (2) markers of heterochromatin H3K9me2 (ChIP-seq), DNA methylation (bisulfite sequencing), and small interfering RNAs (small interfering RNA [siRNA] sequencing; Lee et al., 2012; Stroud et al., 2013); (3) histone marks enriched at euchromatic genes H3K4me3 (Choi et al., 2018), H3K4me2, and H3K4me1 (ChIP-seq), and mRNA from flowers or male meiocytes (RNA sequencing [RNA-seq]; Walker et al., 2018); (4) the Polycomb histone mark H3K27me3 (ChIP-seq; Zhu et al., 2015); and (5) SPO11-1-oligos that mark meiotic DSBs (Choi et al., 2018) and crossovers mapped by sequencing Col × Landsberg erecta F₂ plants (Serra et al., 2018; Rowan et al., 2019).

With reference to a representative 65-kb region of chromosome 3, we observed a positive correlation between REC8 and nucleosome occupancy, both of which negatively correlated with SPO11-1-oligos (Figure 2C). High REC8 enrichment was evident within different types of sequence element and chromatin state; for example, (1) a transcriptionally silent LINE1 TE (AT3TE37690) that showed high nucleosome density, DNA methylation, H3K9me2, and siRNAs, and suppressed SPO11-1-oligos; (2) expressed genes (e.g., TMKL1, PLL22, HCR1, and F-box) that showed high nucleosome occupancy with H3K4me1, H3K4me2, and H3K4me3 modifications; and (3) a H3K27me3-silenced gene (AB13; Figure 2C). Therefore, we next sought to explore REC8 ChIP-seq enrichment at multiple scales and within different sequence contexts in relation to chromatin and recombination data sets.

### Table 3. Meiotic Recombination in Arabidopsis, Budding Yeast, and Fission Yeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>cM</th>
<th>cM/Mb</th>
<th>ZMM</th>
<th>Interference distance</th>
<th>Pachytene axis μM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. pombe</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>174.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae</td>
<td>4,420</td>
<td>366.2</td>
<td>ZIP2, ZIP3, ZIP4, SPO16, MER3, MSH4, MSH5</td>
<td>Megabases 34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>HEI10, SHOC1, PTD1, MER3, MSH4, MSH5</td>
<td>Megabases 179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dashes indicate no data.

(Supplemental Table 2). This demonstrates the low background obtained by our ChIP-seq protocol.

### Table 4. Genomic Maps of Chromatin, Transcription, and Meiotic Recombination in Arabidopsis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Set</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REC8 ChIP-seq</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Floral buds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K9me2 ChIP-seq</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Floral buds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPO11-1-oligos</td>
<td>Choi et al., 2018</td>
<td>Floral buds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleosome MNase-seq</td>
<td>Choi et al., 2018</td>
<td>Floral buds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K4me1 ChIP-seq</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Floral buds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K4me2 ChIP-seq</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Floral buds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K4me3 ChIP-seq</td>
<td>Choi et al., 2018</td>
<td>Floral buds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA methylation BS-seq</td>
<td>Stroud et al., 2013</td>
<td>Seedlings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA-seq</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2012</td>
<td>Immature inflorescences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower mRNA RNA-seq</td>
<td>Choi et al., 2018</td>
<td>Floral buds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meioocyte mRNA RNA-seq</td>
<td>Walker et al., 2018</td>
<td>Floral buds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K27me3 ChIP-seq</td>
<td>Zhu et al., 2015</td>
<td>Floral buds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossovers</td>
<td>Rowan et al., 2019</td>
<td>Seedlings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BS-seq, bisulfite sequencing; siRNA-seq, siRNA sequencing.

*The tissue collected for data generation is listed.

To provide cytological support for the trends observed via ChIP-seq, we analyzed spread nuclei at pachytene stage that were...
immunostained for REC8-HA and chromatin stained with DAPI (Figure 3C). We tracked and quantified axial REC8-HA signal as it traversed heterochromatin (Figure 3C). We centered analysis on heterochromatin over a distance of 100 pixels (equivalent to 6.4 μm) in 20 sections from a total of 10 meiocytes (Figure 3C). We observed that mean REC8-HA and DAPI signal intensity were significantly correlated over the tracked regions ($r_s = 0.94$, $P = 4.07 \times 10^{-47}$). These cytological data are consistent with REC8-HA ChIP-seq enrichment correlating with nucleosome-dense heterochromatin (Figures 3A and 3B).

Because of REC8-HA enrichment in pericentromeric heterochromatin, genome-wide negative correlations between REC8-HA and gene density ($r_s = -0.70$) and the gene-associated chromatin modifications H3K4me1 ($r_s = -0.63$), H3K4me2 ($r_s = -0.74$), H3K4me3 ($r_s = -0.75$), H2A.Z ($r_s = -0.62$), and H3K27me3 ($r_s = -0.26$) were observed (Figures 3A and 3B; Yelagandula et al., 2014). When the chromosome arms were considered separately, a weaker positive correlation between REC8-HA and nucleosome occupancy was observed ($r_s = 0.40$; Figure 3B). The chromosome arms undergo the greatest levels of meiotic DSBs (measured via SPO11-1-oligos) and crossovers (Figure 3B). However, as noted previously (Choi et al., 2018; Underwood et al., 2018), while crossovers and SPO11-1-oligos are positively correlated (genome-wide $r_s = 0.63$, chromosome arms $r_s = 0.46$, pericentromeric $r_s = 0.85$), they show considerable variation in their relative frequencies within the chromosome arms.

**Figure 1.** REC8-Cohesin, Chromatin, and the SC during Arabidopsis Meiosis.

(A) DAPI-stained spreads of wild-type (Col), rec8, REC8-HA rec8, and REC8-Myc rec8 male meiocytes, at the labeled stages of meiosis.

(B) Male meiocytes from the wild type or REC8-HA rec8 immunostained for REC8-HA (red) and stained for DNA (DAPI, blue), at the labeled stages.

(C) Male meiocytes immunostained for ZYP1 (green) or histone methylation (red; H3K4me3, H3K9me2, or H3K27me1) and stained for DNA (DAPI, blue) in the wild type (Col), during late prophase I.

(D) Male meiocytes at pachytene stage immunostained for SMC3 (red) or ZYP1 (red) and stained for DNA (DAPI, blue). Fluorescence in situ hybridization was performed against the CEN180 centromeric satellite sequence (green). Inset images show magnifications of the CEN180-positive regions. All scale bars = 10 μm.
These observations prompted us to investigate the relationship between recombination, chromatin, and REC8-HA ChIP enrichment at the fine scale.

**REC8 Enrichment Associates with Suppression of Meiotic DSBs and Crossovers**

REC8-HA enrichment peaks in ChIP-seq data were identified using the ranger tool within the PeakRanger suite, providing input library reads as a control for background \( P < 0.001 \) and false discovery rate \( \text{FDR} \leq 0.01; \) Feng et al. 2011). This approach identified 86,386 REC8-HA peaks, which had a mean width of 444 bp (Supplemental Figure 2; Supplemental Tables 5 and 6). The average REC8-HA coverage profile between the peak start and end coordinates is positively correlated with nucleosome occupancy \( r_s = 0.80 \) and anti-correlated with SPO11-1-oligos \( r_s = -0.83 \), which was similar to the pattern observed when well-positioned nucleosomes \( n = 57,734; \) mean width = 145 bp) were analyzed (Figure 4A). We analyzed REC8-HA peaks separately according to their location in the chromosome arms or pericentromeres and observed the same trends of nucleosome enrichment and SPO11-1-oligo depletion (Figure 4B). We next analyzed sets of 3320
Figure 3. Genome-Wide Landscapes of REC8, Heterochromatin, Euchromatin, and Meiotic Recombination.

(A) Genome-wide profiles of REC8-HA (red, log$_2$(ChIP/input)) and nucleosomes (purple, log$_2$(MNase/gDNA)). Vertical solid lines indicate telomeres and dashed lines indicate centromeres, with the pericentromeres shaded in blue. Plots beneath compare REC8-HA (red) with TEs (dark green, TE/10 kb), H3K9me2 (green, log$_2$(ChIP/input)), DNA methylation (blue, proportion methylated in CG, CHG and CHH contexts/200 kb; Stroud et al., 2013), genes (brown, 1224 The Plant Cell
We previously observed that Arabidopsis TE families are differentiated by levels of nucleosome occupancy and SPO11-1-oligos (Choi et al., 2018). For example, Gypsy, Copia, and LINE1 RNA transposons are relatively nucleosome dense and suppressed for SPO11-1-oligos, compared with DNA elements including MuDR and Helitron transposons that are nucleosome depleted, DSB active, and enriched in proximity to genes (Choi et al., 2018). We therefore compared REC8-HA levels in DNA versus RNA TEs and at the same number of randomly positioned loci of the same widths (Figures 5A and 5B). We observed that DNA elements were relatively depleted for REC8-HA and nucleosomes, whereas higher levels occurred in RNA elements (Figures 5A and 5B). This correlates with DNA elements showing lower levels of the heterochromatic marks H3K9me2 and CG, CHG, and CHH DNA methylation, compared with RNA transposons (Figures 5A and 5B).

We next evaluated overlap of REC8 peaks, well-positioned nucleosomes, and SPO11-1-oligo hotspots with different TE families (Figure 5C). Gypsy, LINE1, Copia RNA, and EnSpm/CACTA DNA transposons showed significant positive overlap with REC8 peaks and nucleosomes and negative overlap with SPO11-1-oligo hotspots (Figure 5C). By contrast, MuDR, HELITRON, and Pogo/Tc1/Mariner DNA transposons overlap REC8-HA and nucleosomes peaks significantly less than expected by chance and SPO11-1-oligo hotspots significantly more than expected (Figure 5C). To illustrate differences in transposons, we show a representative region on chromosome 3 containing a REC8-enriched COPIA1 RNA element, in the vicinity of a REC8-depleted ATREP3 Helitron DNA element (Figure 5D). Hence, Arabidopsis transposon families are highly differentiated for REC8-cohesin enrichment, chromatin, and recombination.

**REC8 Recruitment to Heterochromatin Is Unimpaired in kyp suvh5 suvh6 H3K9me2 and non-CG DNA Methylation Mutants**

Because of the enrichment of REC8 observed in the pericentromeres (Figure 2A), we sought to investigate whether loss of heterochromatic marks would change cohesin occupancy, as observed in fission yeast H3K9 methylation mutants (Bernard et al., 2001; Nonaka et al., 2002; Mizuguchi et al., 2014; Folco et al., 2017). Previously, we observed that kyp suvh5 suvh6 triple mutants, which lose H3K9me2 and non-CG methylation, gain SPO11-1-oligos within heterochromatic sequences (Stroud et al., 2014; Underwood et al., 2018). Therefore, we repeated REC8-HA ChIP-seq in kyp suvh5 suvh6 mutants. Floral buds from pooled F3 plants were used for this experiment, derived from the same REC8-HA line crossed to kyp suvh5 suvh6. We also performed H3K9me2 ChIP-seq in kyp suvh5 suvh6 to map the change of this chromatin modification. H3K9me2 and non-CG methylation are

---

**Figure 3.** (continued).  
(B) Correlation matrices showing genome-wide r2 for the indicated parameter pairs, with cells color coded according to the correlation scale shown to the right.  
(C) REC8-HA (red) and chromatin (blue/white, DAPI) were costained on pachytene stage chromosomes, and 20 axis sections of 100 pixels centered on heterochromatin were used to quantify signal intensity (arbitrary units). A representative full cell image is shown beneath. Scale Bar = 10 μm. Arrows indicate the area of the cell used for the close-up image beneath; the dashed line indicates the section of chromatin used for analysis. Scale Bar = 2.5 μm.
Figure 4. REC8-HA and Nucleosome Enrichment Correlate with Suppression of Meiotic Recombination at the Fine Scale.

(A) Mean coverage profiles for REC8-HA (red, log₂(ChIP/input)), SPO11-1-oligos (blue, log₂(oligos/gDNA)), and nucleosomes (purple, log₂(MNase/gDNA)) within REC8-HA peaks and 2-kb flanking regions, or the same number of random positions of the same widths. Plots are repeated for well-positioned nucleosomes, SPO11-1-oligo hotspots, and crossovers (5-kb flanking regions). Plot ribbons denote 95% confidence intervals for windowed values.

(B) REC8-HA peaks - chromosome arms (n=62,235) and REC8-HA peaks - pericentromeres (n=24,091)
tightly coupled in Arabidopsis, and both marks are lost in kyp suvh5 suvh6 mutants (Figure 6A; Stroud et al., 2014).

In contrast to fission yeast, we observed that the pattern of REC8-HA enrichment along the chromosomes in kyp suvh5 suvh6 was highly similar to that of the wild type, with strong enrichment observed in proximity to the centromeres (Figure 6A). We immunostained for REC8-HA in the wild-type and observed that normal signal intensity with the muonostained for REC8-HA in the wild-type and observed in proximity to the centromeres (Figure 6A). We im-

was highly similar to that of the wild type, with strong enrichment along the chromosomes in

suvh5 suvh6

Heatmaps of REC8-HA (log2(ChIP/input)), SPO11-1-oligos (log2(oligos/gDNA)), and nucleosomes (log2(MNase/gDNA)) within REC8-HA peaks and 2-kb flanking regions, with peaks (rows) ordered by descending REC8-HA values. Analysis was repeated according to peak locations in the chromosome arms (left) or pericentromeres (right).

Transcription Influences REC8 Occupancy within Genes and Transposons

Transcription has been shown to shape mitotic and meiotic cohesin occupancy (Lengronne et al., 2004; Mizuguchi et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2015). Therefore, we analyzed REC8-HA enrichment in relation to genes (transcription start site [TSS]-transcription termination site [TTT]) and 2 kb of flanking sequences, versus the same number of randomly positioned loci of the same widths (Figure 7A). As reported, SPO11-1-oligos are depleted within gene bodies and show greater enrichment in nucleosome-free regions in promoters and terminators (Figure 7A; Choi et al., 2018). By contrast, REC8-HA, MNase-seq (nucleosomes), and RNA-seq signal are enriched intragenically, and their average profiles show positive correlations with one another (r = 0.66 to 0.96), with highest signal toward gene 3' ends (Figure 7A). For example, REC8-HA is relatively depleted from the 5' regions of genes that show greatest enrichment of H2A.Z and H3K4me3 (Figure 7A). These correlations indicate that transcription may influence both nucleosome and REC8 occupancy within gene bodies, or vice versa.

To explore the relationship with transcription, we ordered genes by increasing expression measured from floral RNA-seq data and compared this with REC8-HA ChIP-seq enrichment within genes (Figure 7B). This showed a negative correlation between REC8-HA occupancy within genes and expression level (r = −0.40; Figure 7B). As gene expression increases, REC8-HA and nucleosomes occur at lower levels intragenically and show an increased bias toward the gene 3' ends (Figure 7B). This is consistent with higher RNA polymerase activity causing migration of cohesin in the direction of transcription. Genes with higher expression also show greater enrichment of H3K4me3 and H2A.Z at their 5' end, coincident with lower local REC8-HA levels (Figure 7B). Interestingly, SPO11-1-oligos in gene promoters and terminators did not significantly correlate with gene expression or intragenic levels of REC8-HA (Figure 7B).

We performed RNA-seq from wild-type and kyp suvh5 suvh6 meiotic-stage flowers and identified 179 TEs that showed significant upregulation of expression in the triple mutant. Upregu-

lated transposons were enriched for elements belonging to the EnSpm (hypergeometric test, P = 1.77 \times 10^{-26}), Copia (P = 1.69 \times 10^{-17}), Harbinger (P = 0.0004), and LINE1 (P = 0.0125) superfamilies (Supplemental Table 7). Upregulated Copia transposo-

ns (n = 45) show loss of H3K9me2 and non-CG DNA methylation in kyp suvh5 suvh6 (Figure 7C). As a control, we compared upregulated Copia elements with the same number of randomly selected Copia elements that did not show a significant change of expression in kyp suvh5 suvh6 (non-differentially expressed), or to the same number of randomly positioned loci of the same widths (Figure 7C). The upregulated Copia transposons showed regions with significant gain of SPO11-1-oligos in kyp suvh5 suvh6 compared to the wild type, which overlapped with regions of significant REC8 depletion, close to the transposon promoters (Benjamini–Hochberg-adjusted Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon [MWW] P < 0.1; Figure 7C). No significant differences in SPO11-1-oligos or REC8 were detected in the Copia transposons that were not upregulated (Figure 7C). This is consistent with changes to chromatin and transcription at these transposons associating with modifications to REC8 occupancy and DSB levels.

Abnormal Chromosome Axis Structures Form in rec8 That Recruit the Homologous Recombination Machinery

Finally, we sought to explore the consequences of rec8 mutation for meiotic chromosome architecture and recombination at the cytological level. We used epifluorescence immunocytology and structured illumination microscopy to analyze the axis proteins ASY1 and ASY3 in rec8. In the wild type, ASY1 and ASY3 co-localize along linear axes from leptotene until zygotene (Figures 8A to 8D; Ferdous et al., 2012). In rec8, ASY1 and ASY3 occurred in abnormal structures that persisted throughout mid-prophase I (Figures 8A to 8D), as reported by Chelysheva et al. (2005). The length of chromosome axis structures stained by ASY1 in rec8 was significantly shorter compared to the wild type at leptotene (mean = 30 μm versus 220 μm; MWW test, P = 3.02 \times 10^{-11}; Figure 8D; Supplemental Table 8).

We analyzed the SC component ZYP1 in the wild type and rec8 (Figures 8A and 8B). Chromosome synopsis initiates at zygotene in the wild type, with the formation of ZYP1 stretches that become

Figure 4. (continued).

(B) Heatmaps of REC8-HA (log2(ChIP/input)), SPO11-1-oligos (log2(oligos/gDNA)), and nucleosomes (log2(MNase/gDNA)) within REC8-HA peaks and 2-kb flanking regions, with peaks (rows) ordered by descending REC8-HA values. Analysis was repeated according to peak locations in the chromosome arms (left) or pericentromeres (right).

(C) Plots as for (A) but analyzing DNA base frequencies (AT, green; GC, pink) across the same regions in 4- or 10-kb regions around feature midpoints.
Figure 5. REC8, Chromatin, and Recombination within Arabidopsis TE Families.

(A) REC8-HA (red, log2(ChIP/input)), SPO11-1-oligos (blue, log2(oligos/gDNA)), nucleosomes (purple log2(MNase/gDNA)), and H3K9me2 (green, log2(ChIP/input)) within DNA transposons and 2-kb flanking regions, or the same number of random positions of the same widths. The mean width of the elements is indicated by vertical dashed lines. Beneath are plots analyzing the same transposons for mean DNA methylation proportion in CG (red), CHG (purple), and CHH (blue) sequence contexts. Plot ribbons denote 95% confidence intervals for windowed mean coverage or DNA methylation proportion.

(B) As for (A) but analyzing RNA transposons.

(C) Bar graphs showing permutation-test derived log2(observed/expected) overlap of REC8-HA peaks (red), SPO11-1-oligo hotspots (blue), or nucleosomes (purple) with the indicated transposon superfamilies. Vertical gray lines mark significance thresholds (α = 0.05).

(D) A representative region from chromosome 3 showing chromatin, recombination, and genome annotation (Table 2). Transposon (red) and gene (green) annotation are shown, with transposons of interest labeled and highlighted by gray shading.
depleted of ASY1 (Figure 8A), until full synapsis is achieved at pachytene (Lambing et al., 2015). We observed short stretches of ZYP1 polymerization between the abnormal ASY1 axis structures in rec8 (Figures 8A and 8B). In the wild type, ZYP1 polymerizes between axes separated by a mean distance of 109 nm (Figure 8B; Supplemental Table 9). In rec8, ZYP1 was detected between ASY1 axis structures with a mean distance not significantly different from that of the wild type (119 nm; MWW test, $P = 0.22$; Figures 8B and 8D; Supplemental Table 9). PCH2 is a conserved meiotic AAA+ATPase required to remodel the axis during synapsis, which forms a linear signal with ZYP1 at pachytene (Figure 8E; Lambing et al., 2015). The rec8 axis structures costained for both PCH2 and ZYP1 (Figure 8E). Interestingly, the SMC3 cohesin subunit was also recruited to the ASY1 axis structures, despite the absence of REC8 (Figure 8F). Therefore, rec8 abnormal axial structures include ASY1, ASY3, and SMC3 and can recruit PCH2 and ZYP1 to produce synapsed structures with a similar inter-axis width to the wild type.

To visualize meiotic DSBs, we immunostained for $\gamma$H2A.X and ASY1 and observed a mean of 202 axis-associated foci in the wild type (Figures 9A and 9C; Supplemental Table 10). In rec8, $\gamma$H2A.X foci were significantly reduced (mean = 53; MWW test, $P = 3.37 \times 10^{-6}$), although they remained associated with the ASY1 axis structures (Figures 9A and 9C). We also immunostained for the single-stranded DNA binding proteins RAD51, RPA1a, and DMC1 that show mean axis-associated foci numbers of 181, 174, and 172, respectively, at mid-prophase I in the wild type, numbers that were significantly reduced in rec8 to 39, 36, and 14 (MWW test, $P = 3.37 \times 10^{-6}, P = 1.08 \times 10^{-5}$; Figures 9A and 9C; Supplemental Tables 10 and 11). Positive correlations exist between axis length and $\gamma$H2A.X and RAD51 foci per nuclei, in both the wild type and rec8 ($r = 0.83$ and 0.69 and $r = 0.92$ and 0.77, respectively; Figure 9D; Supplemental Table 12), which is consistent with a requirement of the axis for DSB formation. This provides cytological evidence that DSB formation and inter-homolog strand invasion are associated with abnormal axial structures in rec8 mutants.

Finally, we immunostained for DNA repair factors required for formation of interfering crossovers. In the wild type, the MutS
Figure 7. Transcription Shapes REC8 Occupancy.

(A) Mean coverage profiles for REC8-HA (red, log$_2$(ChIP/input)) between gene TSS and TTS and 2-kb flanking regions, or the same number of random regions of the same widths. Also plotted are SPO11-1-oligos (blue, log$_2$(oligos/gDNA)), nucleosomes (purple, log$_2$(MNase/gDNA)), RNA expression (pink, RNA-seq normalized by total coverage), H2A.Z (turquoise, log$_2$(ChIP/input)), and H3K4me3 (yellow, log$_2$(ChIP/input)).

(B) Heatmaps of REC8-HA, nucleosomes, SPO11-1-oligos, RNA-seq, H3K4me3, and H2A.Z within genes and 2-kb flanking regions. Rows have been ordered by decreasing RNA-seq within genes.

(C) Mean profiles in the wild type and kyp suvh5 suvh6 for RNA-seq (purple), H3K9me2 (green, ChIP), CHG DNA methylation (yellow), REC8-HA (red), and SPO11-1-oligos (blue) within Copia transposons that are upregulated in kyp suvh5 suvh6, or a random subset of those that are not, or the same number of random positions of the same widths. Plot ribbons denote 95% confidence intervals for the windowed mean coverage or DNA methylation proportion values. Windows showing significant changes in kyp suvh5 suvh6 (with Benjamini–Hochberg-adjusted MWPP < 0.1) are indicated by colored ticks along the x axis.
homolog MSH4 forms a mean of 179 axis-associated foci at leptotene that were significantly reduced in rec8 (mean = 51.3 foci; MWW test, P = 2.59 × 10^{-2}) and to a greater extent than observed for DSB foci (Figures 9A and 9C; Supplemental Tables 10 and 11). The MutL homolog MLH1 acts in late prophase I and shows a mean of 10.4 chiasma-associated foci at diakinesis, which were significantly reduced in rec8, yet remained associated with chromatin (mean = 4.9 foci; MWW test, P = 2.59 × 10^{-10}; Figure 9C; Supplemental Table 13). Therefore, although rec8 abnormal axis structures recruit recombination foci, they are reduced in number relative to the wild type. Together, these cytological data support a role for REC8-cohesin in organizing correct polymerization of the axis and SC to promote high-fidelity interhomolog recombination.

**DISCUSSION**

At the chromosome scale, we observe the greatest REC8 ChIP-seq enrichment in proximity to the centromeres and within pericentromeric heterochromatin. This parallels elevated REC8 enrichment observed in budding and fission yeast centromeres (Kugou et al., 2009; Ito et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2015; Folco et al., 2017). In contrast to these species, Arabidopsis possesses megabase-scale centromeres, consisting of CEN180 satellite repeats, a subset of which bind to the centromeric CENH3 histone variant (Tables 1 to 3; Zhang et al., 2008; Maheshwari et al., 2017). The CEN180 repeats are surrounded by repetitive heterochromatin enriched in Gypsy, Copia, and EnSpm TEs, which are epigenetically modified by DNA methylation, H3K9me2, and H2A.W (Stroud et al., 2014; Yelagandula et al., 2014). We previously observed that SPO11-1-oligos increase within heterochromatin in kyp suvh5 suvh6 H3K9me2/non-CG DNA methylation mutants (Underwood et al., 2018). Here, we show that REC8 is still effectively loaded in the centromeric regions in kyp suvh5 suvh6. In fission yeast, which possesses complex regional centromeres, loss of centromeric H3K9me2 in clr4 mutants greatly decreases REC8 loading and causes defects in chromosome segregation (Bernard et al., 2001; Nonaka et al., 2002; Ellermeyer et al., 2010; Folco et al., 2017). Hence, in this respect Arabidopsis more closely resembles mouse suv39h1 suv39h2 H3K9me2.
mutants, where mitotic cohesin is recruited to heterochromatin but with remodeling on major versus minor satellite repeats (Guenatri et al., 2004; Koch et al., 2008). Therefore, it is possible that other heterochromatic marks, including CG DNA methylation, H3K27me1, or H2A.W (Jacob et al., 2009; Stroud et al., 2013; Stroud et al., 2014; Yelagandula et al., 2014), or other features of the centromere including the kinetochore (Hinshaw et al., 2017), could play important roles in REC8 loading in the Arabidopsis centromeres and pericentromeric heterochromatin.

We observe a strong correlation between REC8 enrichment and nucleosome occupancy (measured via MNase-seq) across the genome. In this respect, it is notable that in vitro binding experiments testing the mobility of a fission yeast cohesin complex (Psm1, Psm3, Psc3, Rad21) along a single tethered DNA molecule showed hindrance caused by the presence of nucleosomes (Stigler et al., 2016). Hence, it is possible that Arabidopsis nucleosomes restrict REC8-cohesin mobility, contributing to the observed correlations. In budding yeast, the Scc2/Scc4 complex functions to load cohesin within nucleosome-free gene promoter regions, with cohesin then migrating in the direction of transcription toward gene terminator regions (Lengronne et al., 2004), which is also evident for REC8-cohesin during meiosis (Sun et al., 2015), and during fission yeast mitosis (Schmidt et al., 2009). In mouse cells, the absence of CTCF leads to accumulation of cohesin at the 3′ ends of transcribed genes (Busslinger et al., 2017). In Arabidopsis, we observe a negative relationship with transcription level and REC8 occupancy, with higher levels of transcription associating with a 3′ bias in REC8 enrichment, although...
we do not observe REC8 accumulation in gene terminators. By contrast, Arabidopsis terminators are nucleosome depleted and enriched for meiotic DSBs (Choi et al., 2018), unlike in budding yeast where SPO11-1-oligo hotspots occur at promoters and not at terminators (Pan et al., 2011). Hence, although a conserved role for transcription patterning cohesin occupancy is apparent, the relative locations of REC8 enrichment and DSBs with respect to gene architecture vary between genomes. It is also important to consider that plant heterochromatin is actively transcribed by Pol IV and Pol V RNA polymerases, which produce short transcripts required for RNA-directed DNA methylation (Law and Jacobsen, 2010). Hence, it will also be interesting to explore the effects of heterochromatic transcription on cohesin occupancy in plants in the centromere proximal regions.

We show that REC8 occupancy is associated with multiple chromatin states within the Arabidopsis genome in both gene- and repeat-rich regions. We show significant differentiation of DNA versus RNA transposon occupancy by REC8, which correlates with chromatin and meiotic DSB levels. At both the chromosome and fine scales, REC8 enrichment associates with suppression of meiotic DSBs and crossovers. This is consistent with anchoring of chromatin loops to the axis via REC8-cohesin causing local exclusion of the recombination machinery. Cohesin has a role in DSB repair and can antagonize interhomolog recombination by promoting inter-sister repair of DSBs (Hong et al., 2013; da Costa-Nunes et al., 2014; Yoon et al., 2016; Bolaños-Villegas et al., 2017). Hence, in Arabidopsis it is also possible that high levels of REC8 associate with promotion of inter-sister repair, which could contribute to the low crossover frequency observed in the heterochromatic pericentromeres. Despite the local suppressive effect on recombination, REC8 is also required to establish an organized meiotic chromosome architecture and in its absence catastrophic recombination occurs, leading to chromosome fragmentation (Bhatt et al., 1999; Cai et al., 2003; Chelysheva et al., 2005). We show that in the absence of REC8, axis proteins undergo polymerization into disorganized structures that nevertheless recruit foci associated with DSB formation and repair and form regions of SC. We propose that a subset of these repair foci represent illegitimate interhomolog recombination events that cause chromosome fragmentation at metaphase I. In conclusion, we show that chromatin, the axis, and REC8-cohesin play tightly integrated roles organizing meiotic chromosome architecture and recombination during Arabidopsis meiosis.

**METHODS**

**Plant Materials**

Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) plants were grown on commercial F2 compost in controlled environment chambers under the following conditions: 60% humidity and long-day conditions (16-h-light/8-h-dark cycle) with 150 μmol light intensity using light-emitting diode bulbs with standard NS1 spectrum and at 20°C. The following mutant alleles in the Col accession were used: rec8-7 (Salk_091193) was used for cytological experiments (Ferdous et al., 2012) and rec8-3 (SAIL_807_B08) was used for transformation with epitranscripta-ERR8-HA and REC8-Myc transgenes (d’Erfurth et al., 2008). The kyo suvhr suvhr triple mutant (SALK_041474, GK-263C06, SAIL_124H_F04) was obtained from Steven Jacobsen (University of California, Los Angeles).

**Cytological Analysis of Meiosis**

Fixation of Arabidopsis inflorescences from pools of Col, rec8-7, or rec8-8 HA/Myc plants and chromosome spreads of pollen mother cells were performed, as described by Ross et al. (1996). Immunostaining of MLH1, REC8-HA, and REC8-Myc was performed on acetic acid chromosome spreads using fixed inflorescences, as described by Chelysheva et al. (2010). Chromosome spreads of Arabidopsis pollen mother cells and immunostaining of ASY1, γH2AX, RAD51, RPA1a, DMC1, MSH4, ASY3, SMC3, PCH2, and ZYP1 were prepared using fresh buds from pools of Col or rec8-1 plants, as described by Armstrong et al. (2002). The following antibodies were used: α-ASY1 (rat/rabbit, 1:500 dilution; Armstrong et al., 2002), α-ZYP1 (rat/rabbit, 1:500 dilution; Higgins et al., 2005), α-γH2AX (Ser 139; Merck catalog no. 07-164, 1:100 dilution; Ferdous et al., 2012), α-RAD51 (rabbit, 1:500 dilution; Sanchez-Moran et al., 2007), α-DMC1 (rabbit, 1:500 dilution; Sanchez-Moran et al., 2007), α-MSH4 (rabbit, 1:500 dilution; Higgins et al., 2004), α-RPA1a (rabbit, 1:500 dilution; Osman et al., 2009), α-ASY3 (rabbit, 1:500 dilution; Ferdous et al., 2012), α-PCH2 (rabbit, 1:500 dilution; Lambing et al., 2015), α-Myc (mouse, 1:50 dilution; 9E10 sc-40, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and α-HA (rabbit, 1:250 dilution; ab9110, Abcam). Fluorescence in situ hybridization was performed on chromosome spreads of meiotic nuclei using CEV180 probes, as described by Armstrong et al. (2001). Microscopy was conducted using a DeltaVision Personal DV microscope (Applied Precision/GE Healthcare) equipped with a charge-coupled device CoolSNAP HQ2 camera (Photometrics). Image capture was performed using SoftWoRx software version 5.5 (Applied Precision/GE Healthcare). Image analysis and processing were performed using ImageJ.

**Generation of REC8-3×HA and REC8-5×Myc Transgenic Lines**

The REC8 locus was PCR amplified from Col genomic DNA, and 3×HA or 5×Myc epitopes were introduced at the stop codon. For each of the constructs, a genomic fragment containing 2.6 kb upstream of the REC8′ untranslated region was amplified using the primers REC8-tag_F and REC8-tag_R (Supplemental Table 1). A linker coding for the amino acids (GGGS)×4 was introduced between the last codon of the genomic sequence and the HA and Myc tags. A Nos terminator was introduced at the end of the HA and Myc tags. Tagged REC8 genomic fragments were cloned into the pPZP211 binary vector using HindIII and SalI restriction sites and used to transform rec8-3 heterozygous plants using Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101. Primary transformants were selected on kanamycin supplemented Murashige and Skoog medium. The presence of transgenes in rec8-3 homozygous backgrounds was confirmed by PCR genotyping.

**Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting of Epitope-Tagged REC8**

Unopened flower buds were collected from pools of Col, REC8-HA rec8, or REC8-Myc rec8 F1 plants. For each genotype, 1 g of unopened flower buds was ground in liquid nitrogen and transferred to lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.9, 5 mM EDTA, 2% [v/v] SDS, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], 2 mM DTT, and 1× protein cocktail inhibitor [187358001, Roche]) and incubated for 20 min at 95°C. The solution was centrifuged at 17,000g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was diluted with 3 volumes of lysis buffer (14 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1% [v/v] Triton X-100, and 150 mM NaCl). For immunoprecipitation, 4 μg of α-HA (ab9110, Abcam) or α-Myc (ab9132, Abcam) antibodies was used per 0.25 g of buds, which were bound to Protein G Dynabeads (10004D, Invitrogen). The reaction was incubated for 16 h at 4°C and then washed four times with wash buffer (14 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1% [v/v] Triton X-100, and 150 mM NaCl). Immunoprecipitated proteins were eluted from the beads by incubation with...
1 × SDS loading buffer for 5 min at 75°C. The eluted solution was used for immunoblotting.

Protein extracts and eluted proteins were loaded with 1 × SDS loading buffer on a NuPage 3 to 8% (v/v) Tris acetate gel (EA0375BOX, Invitrogen). After proteins were separated through the gel using electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (162-0177, Bio-Rad) in transfer solution (25 mM Tris base, 192 mM Gly, and 20% [v/v] methanol, pH 8.3) for 1 h at 100 mA at 4°C. After transfer, the membrane was rinsed with TBST (20 mM Tris base and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.6) and incubated in blocking buffer (5% [w/v] nonfat dried milk in TBST) overnight at 4°C with shaking. The membrane was then washed once for 15 min and twice for 5 min with TBST at room temperature. A solution of primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer was added (α-HA [ab9110, lot GR3231144, Abcam], 1/15,000 dilution; α-Myc [ab9132, lot GR254156, Abcam], 1/5000 dilution), and the membrane was incubated for 2 h at room temperature. The membrane was washed once for 15 min and then twice for 5 min with TBST at room temperature. A solution of secondary antibody diluted in blocking buffer (α-HA [anti-rabbit IgG-horse-seradish peroxidase, sc-2054, Santa Cruz Biotechnology], 1/20,000 dilution; α-Myc [α-goat IgG-horseradish peroxidase, sc-2768, Santa Cruz Biotechnology], 1/5000 dilution) was added, and the membrane was incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The membrane was washed once for 15 min and twice for 5 min with TBST at room temperature. The signal was detected on X-ray film with ECL Prime Western Blotting detection reagents (RP22323, GE Healthcare) using a Xograph compact X4.

ChIP-Seq of Histone Modifications

Unopened flower buds were collected from pools of Col or kyp suvh5 suvh6 plants. Two grams of unopened floral buds was used to perform ChIP-seq for H3K4me1, H3K4me2, H3K9me2, H3K27me1, and H3K9me2 in Col or kyp suvh5 suvh6, as described previously (Choi et al., 2018). Five micrograms-of-antibodies was used per gram of tissue. The following antibodies were used: α-H3K4me1 (catalog no. ab8895, lot GR312093, Abcam), α-H3K4me2 (catalog no. ab7766, lot GR286627, Abcam), α-H3K9me2 (catalog no. ab1220, lot GR244373, Abcam), and α-H3K27me1 (catalog no. 07-448, lot 2,869,720, Merck).

ChIP and Sequencing of REC8

Unopened flower buds were collected from pools of Col, kyp suvh5 suvh6, REC8-HA rec8, or REC8-Myc rec8 F2 plants. Ten grams of unopened floral buds was ground in liquid nitrogen and used to perform ChIP-seq for REC8-HA in the wild type (two biological replicates representing two independent collections of flower buds from pools plants) and kyp suvh5 suvh6 (two biological replicates representing two independent collections of flower buds from pools plants) and for REC8-Myc in the wild type (one biological replicate), as described by Lambing et al. (2020). In vitro cross-linking and nuclei isolation were performed in nuclei isolation cross-linking buffer (60 mM Hepes, pH 8.0, 1 M Suc, 5 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 5 mM EDTA, 0.6% [v/v] Triton X-100, 0.4 mM PMSE, 1 mM pepstatin, 1 × protein cocktail inhibitor, and 1% [w/v] formaldehyde) at room temperature for 25 min. Gly was added to a final concentration of 125 mM, and the nuclei solution was incubated at room temperature for an additional 25 min. The nuclei were purified from cellular debris by filtering the nuclei solution twice through one layer of Miracloth and centrifugation at 12,000g for 10 min at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in EB2 buffer (0.25 M Suc, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM MgCl2, 1% [v/v] Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.1 mM PMSE, 1 mM pepstatin, and 1 × protein cocktail inhibitor) followed by a centrifugation at 12,000g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in nuclei lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1% [v/v] SDS, 0.1 mM PMSE, 1 mM pepstatin, and 1 × protein cocktail inhibitor). DNA was sonicated using a Bioruptor for 15 min (high intensity 320W, 30 s ON–30 s OFF). The nucleus lysis solution was then diluted (3 volumes:2 volumes) with ChIP dilution buffer (1.1% [v/v] Triton X-100, 1.1 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 167 mM NaCl, 1 mM pepstatin, and 1 × protein cocktail inhibitor), and a second cycle of DNA sonication was performed (25 min at high intensity 320W with 30 s ON – 1 min OFF). The solution was then centrifuged at 5000g for 10 min at 4°C. Five percent of the supernatant was kept as a DNA input sample. The remaining supernatant was incubated with 7 μg of α-Myc (ab9132, Abcam) or 7 μg of α-HA (ab9110, Abcam) antibodies that had been prebound to 50 μL of Dynabead Protein G (10004D, Invitrogen) per gram of floral buds and was then incubated for 16 h at 4°C. The immunoprecipitate was washed two times for 5 min with low salt buffer (150 mM NaCl, 0.1% [v/v] SDS, 1% [v/v] Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, and 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and 2 times for 5 min with high salt buffer (500 mM NaCl, 0.1% [v/v] SDS, 1% [v/v] Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, and 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0). The proteins bound to the antibody/beads were treated with elution buffer (1% SDS and 0.1 M NaHCO3) at 65°C for 10 min. DNA–protein complexes were reverse cross-linked by adding NaCl to a final concentration of 240 mM, and the solution was incubated at 65°C overnight. ChIP-DNA was purified with phenol/chloroform, and 10 ng of ChIP-purified DNA was used to generate libraries using the TruSeq DNA Sample Preparation Kit v2 (Illumina), as described by Choi et al. (2018).

ChIP-qPCR Analysis

Enrichment of DNA following α-HA ChIP was estimated by qPCR using a Quantitative SYBR Green PCR kit (208054, Qiagen) and a CFX96 qPCR instrument (Bio-Rad). Briefly, 0.25 ng of DNA was used with 0.7 μM of primers for qPCR amplifications. The PCR program used was 40 cycles of 95°C for 2 min, 95°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 10 s. To calculate α-HA ChIP enrichment of DNA, amplifications were compared with input DNA, between REC8-HA rec8 transgenic plants and nontransgenic Col plants.

RNA-Seq

Unopened flower buds were collected from pools of Col or kyp suvh5 suvh6 plants to provide two biological replicates for each genotype. For each replicate, 100 ng of unopened flower buds was ground in liquid nitrogen and RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent. Six micrograms of total RNA per sample was treated with DNase I using TURBO DNase reagents (AM2338, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Four micrograms of total DNase I–treated total RNA was then treated with Riboto-Zero RNA Removal Kit (MRZPL116, Epicentre) to deplete rRNA from the RNA sample. Fifty nanograms of RNA-depleted DNase I–treated RNA was used to prepare RNA-seq libraries using the ScriptSeq v2 RNA-seq Library Preparation Kit (SSV21124, Epicentre), as described by Choi et al. (2018).

ChIP-Seq Data Analysis

Deduplicated paired-end (2 × 76 bp) ChIP-seq reads were aligned to the Arabidopsis Information Resource 10 (TAIR10) reference genome using Bowtie2 version 2.2.9 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), with the following settings:--very-sensitive--no-discardant--no-mixed -p 4 -k 10. Up to 10 valid alignments were reported for each read. Aligned reads with more than two mismatches were discarded using the Sequence Alignment/Map (SAM) optional field XS:i. Uniquely aligning reads were extracted by removing alignments with the SAM optional field XS:i and with Bowtie2-assigned MAPping Quality (MAPQ) scores lower than 42. Alignments consisting of reads that mapped to multiple loci were filtered such that only those with MAPQ scores higher than or equal to 10 remained, from which the alignment with the highest MAPQ score was retained. Where MAPQ scores for multiple valid alignments were equal, one alignment was randomly selected. Alignments consisting of only one read in a pair were...
discarded. The genome-wide average depth of coverage obtained for each library is provided in Supplemental Table 2.

Unique and multiple alignments in Binary Alignment/Map format were combined, and coverage was calculated for each coordinate in the genome using Rsamtools version 1.26.1. Coverage was normalized by the sum of coverage for each library. The log2 ratio of ChIP-input coverage was calculated to control for background and variation in mappability across genomic loci. These values were used to generate chromosome-scale coverage profiles and fine-scale coverage profiles around genome features within specific annotation categories, including REC8-HA peaks (defined as described below), well-positioned nucleosomes (Choi et al., 2018), SPO11-1-oligo hotspots (Choi et al., 2018), TAIR10 representative gene TSSs and TTSs, TEs (Buisine et al., 2008), and a set of 3320 crossover intervals mapped using genotyping by sequencing of Col x Landsberg erecta F2 plants (Choi et al., 2018; Serra et al., 2018). A coverage profile was generated for each feature using the normalizeToMatrix function from the Bioconductor package EnrichedHeatmap version 1.11.1 (Gu et al., 2018). Because of varying feature lengths, each feature was divided into proportionally scaled windows between start and end coordinates, and 2-kb flanking regions were divided into 20-bp windows or 5-kb regions flanking crossovers were divided into 50-bp windows. For each window along each feature and its flanking regions, an average value was calculated using the w0 method for ChIP-seq data or the absolute method for bisulfite-sequencing data. The default profile-smoothing method implemented in the normalizeToMatrix function was applied. The resulting matrix of windowed coverage or DNA methylation proportion values was used to generate a mean profile (averaged over all features), or a heatmap in which each row represents a single feature. Mean profiles and heatmaps were plotted such that the distance between feature start and end coordinates along the x axis represents the mean feature length. Previously published paired-end H3K4me3 ChIP-seq and MNase-seq reads in the wild type (Choi et al., 2018) and single-end SPO11-1-oligo reads in the wild type and kyp suvh5 suvh6 (Choi et al., 2018; Underwood et al., 2018) were aligned to the TAIR10 reference genome and processed for downstream analysis, as described previously by Choi et al. (2018). REC8, H3K4me3, H3K9me2, and SPO11-1-oligo peaks were identified using the ranger tool within the PeakRanger suite (Feng et al., 2011) with the –pad option specified, providing ChIP input or genomic DNA (gDNA) reads as a control for background in each case. H3K4me3 and H3K9me2 peaks were defined by applying P-value and FDR thresholds of 0.05, with read extension lengths (–ext length option) of 125 and 150 nucleotides specified, respectively, based on mean estimated fragment lengths derived from Binary Alignment/Map files. REC8 ChIP-seq peaks were detected using more stringent significance thresholds (P ≤ 0.001 and FDR ≤ 0.01) and with a read extension length of 200 nucleotides specified. SPO11-1-oligo hotspots were identified as described previously by Choi et al. (2018). Any overlapping peaks were merged.

REC8-HA peaks and SPO11-1-oligo hotspots were evaluated for overlap with genomic features within other annotation categories by performing permutation tests using the Bioconductor package regionR version 1.6.2 (Gel et al., 2016). Overlaps were defined as the number of REC8-HA peaks or SPO11-1-oligo hotspots that overlap one or more features within a given annotation category. For each test, 10,000 sets of randomly positioned loci with the same width distribution as the REC8-HA peaks or SPO11-1-oligo hotspots were defined. For each set, the number of random loci overlapping features within a given annotation category was compared with the observed number of overlapping REC8-HA peaks or SPO11-1-oligo hotspots. This provided the basis for calculating an empirical P-value (≤1 × 10−4, with the minimum obtainable P-value determined by the number of permutations) denoting the significance of the observed overlap.

Well-positioned nucleosomes were identified in mapped MNase-seq data (Choi et al., 2018), using the R package nucleR (Flores and Orozco, 2011). Well-positioned nucleosomes are defined narrow peaks in nucleosomal signal corresponding to the size of a single nucleosome core (~147 bp) and characterized by troughs in signal in flanking regions. As nucleR identifies nucleosome positions from paired-end 100-bp MNase-seq reads, these were trimmed to the central 40 bp to improve detection. Coverage at each position in the genome was calculated and normalized by library size. Paired-end reads from a Col gDNA library were processed in the same way to provide a control. These data were used to calculate log2(MNase-seq/gDNA) coverage ratios at each genomic coordinate. To remove noise from the log2(MNase-seq/gDNA) profile, the fast Fourier transform was applied using the filterFFT function within nucleR, retaining 2% of the components of the original profile (pcKeepComp = 0.02). Peaks in the signal were identified using the peakDetection function, specifying a peak width of 140 bp centered on the local maximum. Peaks with nucleR-assigned height scores greater than 0.99 were retained. Any overlapping peaks were merged. Additionally, DNA methylation proportions derived from published bisulfite sequencing reads in the wild type and kyp suvh5 suvh6 were used to profile DNA methylation levels at chromosome and fine scales (Stroud et al., 2013).

RNA-Seq Data Analysis

For analysis of chromosome-scale and fine-scale expression profiles, paired-end (2 × 76 bp) RNA-seq reads were aligned to the TAIR10 reference genome using STAR version 2.5.3a (Dobin et al., 2013), with the following settings:–twopassMode Basic–twopass1readsN -1. Read pairs with up to 10 valid alignments and up to two mismatches were reported as mapped. Uniquely aligned reads were extracted by selecting alignments with STAR-assigned MAPQ scores of 255. Alignments consisting of reads that mapped to multiple loci were excluded using the SAM field NH:i. Multiple alignments with MAPQ scores lower than 3 were discarded. As the maximum STAR-assigned MAPQ score for multiple alignments is 3, a primary multiple alignment was randomly selected. Alignments consisting of only one read in a pair were discarded. Unique and multiple alignments were combined, and coverage was calculated for each coordinate in the genome using Rsamtools 1.26.1. Coverage was normalized by the total coverage for each library. Analysis of differential expression between the wild type and kyp suvh5 suvh6 mutant samples was performed using the Bioconductor package DESeq2 version 1.16.1 (Love et al., 2014), as described by Lawrence et al. (2019). TEs that were upregulated in kyp suvh5 suvh6 relative to the wild type were evaluated for over-representation of elements within each TE superfamily using the hypergeometric distribution.

Accession Numbers

The gene accession numbers for REC8, KYP, SUVH5, and SUVH6 are AT5G05490, AT5G13960, AT2G35160, and AT2G22740, respectively. ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data have been deposited in the ArrayExpress database at EMBL-EBI (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) under accession numbers E-MTAB-7370 (ChIP-seq) and E-MTAB-7371 (RNA-seq), respectively.
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